Single-molecule study of DNA unlinking by eukaryotic and prokaryotic type-II topoisomerases
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Type-II topoisomerases are responsible for untangling DNA during replication by removing supercoiled and interlinked DNA structures. Using a single-molecule micromanipulation setup, we follow the real-time decatenation of two mechanically braided DNA molecules by Drosophila melanogaster topoisomerase (Topo) II and Escherichia coli Topo IV. Although Topo II relaxes left-handed (L) and right-handed (R-) braids similarly at a rate of ~2.9 s⁻¹, Topo IV has a marked preference for L-braids, which it relaxation completely and processively at a rate of ~2.4 s⁻¹. However, Topo IV can unlink R-braids at about half that rate when they supercoil to form L-plectonemes. These results imply that the preferred substrate for unlinking by Topo IV has the symmetry of an L-crossing and shed new light on the decatenation of daughter strands during DNA replication, which are usually assumed to be linked as an R-braid.

DNA replication

Type-II topoisomerases are ubiquitous ATP-dependent enzymes that catalyze the transport of one DNA segment [the transport (T) segment] through a transient double-stranded break in a second [the gate (G) segment] (1, 2). Their role in the cell is to control the supercoiling of DNA and to untangle the catenanes that arise during replication or recombination (3–7). Their malfunction at mitosis or meiosis ultimately causes cell death. In vitro experiments have shown that these enzymes can decatenate DNA molecules well below the thermodynamic entanglement equilibrium (7). The mechanism by which this local action on DNA results in unknotting and decatenation (a global topological property) is not fully understood.

In contrast with eukaryotic topoisomerases that act similarly on positively and negatively supercoiled DNA, prokaryotes have two type-II topoisomerases: DNA gyrase and topoisomerase (Topo) IV, which act differently on (+) and (−) supercoiled DNA [respectively, left-handed (L-) and right-handed (R-) nodes; see Fig. 1a]. Gyrase removes (+) supercoils and actively generates (−) supercoils, whereas Topo IV preferentially relaxes (+) supercoils (8). The chiral discrimination of Topo IV, which prevents its interference with the winding activity of gyrase, was suggested (8) to result from a preferred interaction of Topo IV with the particular orientation of the G and T segments encountered in (+) supercoils: an L-crossing with acute angle (see Fig. 1b). However, the main role of Topo IV in bacteria is the unlinking of the sister chromosomes during replication (6, 9). Experiments in vitro have suggested that the decatenation of the sister strands could occur either by relaxing the (+) supercoils on the mother strand or by unlinking the braided daughter strands (10). Relaxation of the (+) supercoils arising in front of the replication fork (by gyrase and Topo IV) is thought to be the main pathway of unlinking in the early stages of replication, whereas decatenation of the entangled sister chromosomes becomes increasingly important as replication proceeds to the terminal stage (9, 10). But if these so-called precatenanes wrap around each other in a right-handed fashion [like (−) supercoils; see Fig. 1a], as is generally assumed (6, 10, 11), they should present an inefficient substrate for relaxation by Topo IV. Hence unlinking should become less and less efficient as replication proceeds to termination, a riddle we call the Topo IV decatenation paradox.

Puzzled by these arguments, we decided to study the unbraidings of two DNA molecules by a single type-II topoisomerase (eukaryotic or prokaryotic) by using the single-molecule magnetic manipulation technique we used previously to study DNA uncoiling (8, 12). Our results confirm that, whereas the eukaryotic Topo II relaxes similarly L- and R-braids, the prokaryotic Topo IV preferentially relaxes L-braids [like (+) supercoils]. However, Topo IV can also unlink R-braids when they supercoil to form L-plectonemes. These observations suggest a solution to the aforementioned paradox.

Materials and Methods

DNA Constructs and Micromanipulation Setup. We multiply labeled a 16-kbp DNA (pXΔII, linking number Lk₀ = 1,500) at its extremities with biotin and digoxigenin (Dig) as described (13). The molecules were bound at one end to a streptavidin-coated magnetic bead [2.8 μm (Dynal, Great Neck, NY) or 1 μm (Biolabs, Northbrook, IL)] and at the other to an anti-Dig coated glass surface, previously incubated with BSA (Hoffmann–La Roche) to reduce nonspecific interactions. We translated small magnets placed above the sample to set the force pulling on the bead and rotated them to braid the two DNA molecules anchoring the bead to the surface. The extension of the vast majority (>99%) of beads tethered by single molecules was not affected by rotation of the magnets. Thus the anchoring DNA could not be supercoiled (see Fig. 7, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site, www.pnas.org). We conclude that most of the molecules in our sample were nicked, probably because of shear stress. Bead tracking and force measurement were performed on an inverted microscope (14). The extension z was measured by tracking the 3D position of the tethered bead (13) with an error due to Brownian motion of ~10 nm (with 1-s averaging). The bead’s transverse fluctuations (σ²) allow for a determination of the stretching force using the equipartition theorem: \( F = k_B T z / (6 \sigma^2) \), where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant and T, the temperature (at 25°C: \( k_B T = 4 \text{ pN nm} \)). \( F \) was measured with 10% accuracy. Notice that the magnetic trap implemented here is a natural force clamp: once the distance of the magnets to the sample (a few millimeters) is set, the force pulling on the bead is fixed. In plots showing DNA extension, the points correspond to the raw data and were obtained at 25 Hz. To eliminate microscope drifts, differential tracking with a second bead glued to the surface was performed.

Unbraiding Assays. Unbraiding experiments with Drosophila melanogaster topoisomerase II (Amesham Pharmacal; [Topo II] = 12 ng/ml) were performed at 25°C in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH = 7.9) containing 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 15 μg/ml BSA, and 1 mM ATP. Unbraiding experiments
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with Topo IV [gift of N. Cozzarelli (University of California, Berkeley) and N. Crisona (University of California, Berkeley)] ([Topo IV] = 20 ng/ml) were performed at 25°C in 25 mM Tris buffer (pH = 7.6) containing 100 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, 50 µg/ml BSA, and 1 mM ATP (8). Step measurements were performed in the same buffer with 5 µM ATP with 1-µm magnetic beads.

**Braiding Model.** The geometrical model considered here is the twisting of a swing by n turns (see Fig. 1c). Up to the first crossing, 0 < n < 0.5, we observe a sharp decrease in the vertical extension z of the molecules of radius R, whose extremites are separated by a distance 2e (e can be different for different complexes):

\[ z(n) = \frac{\sqrt{z_{\text{max}}^2 - 4e^2 \sin^2(\alpha)}}{2e} \]

where \( z_{\text{max}} \) is the extension at \( n = 0 \). As the molecules are further braided, \( n > 1/2 \), their extension z is better understood by describing the braids in periodic cylindrical coordinates as shown in Fig. 1c; this leads to

\[ z(n) = z_{\text{max}} \cos \alpha = \sqrt{z_{\text{max}}^2 - \left(2e + 2\pi R (n-1/2) \right)^2} \]

Eq. 2 is valid so long as the distance between the axes of the interlinked molecules is larger than their diameter, which implies \( \cos \alpha = z(n)/z_{\text{max}} \geq 1/\sqrt{2} = 0.71 \) (or \( \alpha \leq \alpha_c = 45^\circ \)). Notice that for a given force, the number of turns, n uniquely determines the braid angle \( \alpha \) (when it is \( \leq 45^\circ \)). Further braiding increases the torque until it is large enough to induce the braids to supercoil (15). We observe this to happen when \( z(n)/z_{\text{max}} = 2\pi/2z_{\text{max}} \sim 0.64 \).

**Results**

**Braiding Two DNA Molecules: Experiments and Model.** We examined the decatenase activity of two different topoisomerases [Topo II from D. melanogaster (12) and Topo IV from Escherichia coli (8)] by monitoring in real time the increase in extension of the molecules as they were unlinked. The molecules’ extension z at a given force F was measured as described in Materials and Methods. Because the elastic behavior of a single stretched DNA molecule is well known (16–18), we verified that the bead was tethered by comparing the measured extension \( z_{\text{max}} \) in absence of braiding with the expected extension for a bead tethered by two molecules (see Fig. S5a, which is published as supporting information on the PNAS web site).

The molecules were then braided around each other n times by rotating the magnets (Fig. 2), resulting in a decrease in z. The variation of z with n is nicely described by the geometric braiding of two ropes of radius R and maximal extension \( z_{\text{max}} \) anchored a distance 2e apart as in a swing (see Materials and Methods and Figs. 1 and 2). This model implies that, in contrast with previous single-molecule (12) (and bulk) supercoiling experiments, the braid angle \( \alpha \) is related to the molecules’ extension by \( \cos \alpha = z(z_{\text{max}}) \) (Fig. 2). At any constant force F, the extension z shrinks with n, whereas \( \alpha \) increases until the strands are in close contact at \( n = n_s \) where \( \alpha = \alpha_c = 45^\circ \). As we further twist the molecules and bring them into closer contact, the braiding torque increases until at \( n = n_s \), the braids buckle and form plectonemic supercoils. This transition is observed when \( z/2z_{\text{max}} \sim z/2\pi \sim 0.64 \) and is characterized by an increase in the fluctuations in extension (data not shown) and a discontinuity in the slope of \( z_{\text{max}} \) vs. n (see Fig. 2). That buckling transition was also observed in numerical simulations of the braiding of two stretched DNA molecules (data not shown). On further twisting, the extension z shortened by a constant amount \( \Delta z \approx 42 \text{ nm} \) per turn (see Fig. 2), as expected for plectonemic braids (15).

At each force F, we determined the values of the intermolecular distance 2e (which varies from bead to bead) and the DNA’s effective radius R that best fit the data for \( \alpha \) to the model when \( \alpha < 45^\circ \). That the best-fit values of 2e (for a given bead) varied little (0.8 ± 0.08 µm) over a factor 10 variation in F further validates our model. Furthermore, as predicted by Marko (15), at large forces (\( F > 0.2 \text{ pN} \)) the braid radius R decreased as \( F^{-3/4} \) due to a reduction in entropic confinement (see Fig. S8b). Its value (\( R \sim 5 \text{ nm} \)) at \( F = 0.3 \text{ pN} \) is compatible with values measured on free plasmids in similar salt conditions (19, 20). The excellent agreement between the braiding data and the simple geometric model just described allows for a detailed understanding of DNA unbraiding by type-II topoisomerases.

Charvin et al.
The Eukaryotic Topo Decatenates L- and R-Braids at the Same Rate as It Relaxes Supercoiled DNA. The addition of eukaryotic Topo II in an appropriate reaction buffer (see Material and Methods) led to an increase in extension corresponding to decatenation of L- or R-braids (Fig. 3a). To ensure that a single enzyme was monitored, we set the concentration of topoisomerase so that the typical waiting time for an unbraiding event \( T_w \) was larger than the relaxation time \( T_c \). The probability that two enzymes acted simultaneously was then negligibly small (12). In these conditions, we observed the enzyme to unlink L- and R-braids at similar rates (see Fig. 3b) and with a high processivity: all of the braids (usually \( n = 40 \), but as many as 80) were unlinked in one burst of activity. Knowing the variation of \( z \) with \( n \) (see Fig. 2), we estimated the average decatenation rate (for both L- and R-braids): \( v_d = 2.7 \pm 0.3 \) cycles/s at \( F = 0.3 \) pN. Notice that the tension \( T_c \) in each molecule varied slightly with the braiding angle: \( T_c = F/(2 \cos \alpha) \), where \( 0.7 \leq \cos \alpha \leq 0.9 \); the lower bound was reached at close contact (\( \alpha = 45^\circ \)) and the upper one at first contact (\( n = 1/2 \)). As was the case for supercoil removal (12), the decatenation rate was slowed down exponentially with the averaged molecular tension \( T_c \).

**Topo IV Unlinks L-Braids Completely but R-Braids Only Partially.** Whereas eukaryotic Topo II is insensitive to the chirality of the DNA crossing, bacterial Topo IV relaxes (−) supercoils 20 times slower than (+) ones. Furthermore, a 20× higher enzymatic concentration is required to observe those negative uncoiling events (8). A chiral discrimination is also observed in Topo IV unbraiding. As shown in Fig. 4a, Topo IV relaxed L-braids completely and with a high processivity but did not relax R-braids below a final catenation number \( n_S \). However, although uncoiling of (+) and (−) supercoils occurs at widely different rates, Topo IV decatenated L- and R-braids similarly when \( n > n_S \): \( v_d = 2.35 \) cycles per s and \( v_d^0 = 1.05 \) cycles per s, respectively, for L- and R-nodes (at the same \([\text{TopoIV}] \sim 20 \text{ ng/ml} \); see Fig. 4b). These rates, which varied little with the relative extension \( z/z_{\text{max}} \) are closer to the rates estimated in vivo \([\sim 1 \text{ cycle/s}] \) than to those deduced from bulk \textit{in vitro} data \((0.1 \text{ cycles/s}) \) (21, 22). The uncoiling rates of Topo IV in single-molecule experi-

---

**Fig. 2.** Braiding of two DNA molecules. Shown are two DNA molecules anchored to a magnetic bead. By rotating the bead by \( n \) turns, the molecules are braided around each other, and their extension \( z \) decreases from their maximal value \( z_{\text{max}} \) at \( n = 0 \). Plotted: the molecules’ relative extension \( z/z_{\text{max}} \) vs. \( n \) at \( F = 1.15 \) pN (points). Notice the change in the horizontal scale when \(-1 < n < 1 \). There is a sharp decrease in extension for the first half turn \((|n| < 1/2, \text{ green shaded area}) \), i.e., until the two molecules cross, followed (for \(|n| > 1/2, \text{ red shaded area}) \) by a more gradual decrease as they wrap around each other. The plain black lines are a best fit to the data using the geometrical model (described in Fig. 1c and Materials and Methods). For relative extensions \( z/z_{\text{max}} < z_0/z_{\text{max}} \sim 0.64 \) (blue shaded area), the braids in close contact supercoil leading to a decrease in extension \( \delta z \sim 42 \text{ nm per turn} \).

**Fig. 3.** Decatenation by Topo II. (a) The sketch shows that when braids are decatenated, the distance \( z \) of the bead to the surface increases by \( \delta z \) per braid removed. The kinetics of decatenation can thus be monitored in real-time by measuring \( z \). (b) Recording of a decatenation experiment using Topo II (raw extension signal \( z \) at 25 Hz). Rotation of the magnets by 40 turns (bottom trace) leads to a decrease in the DNA’s extension \( z \); see Fig. 2. After waiting for a time \( T_w \), the processive decatenation of the two molecules by a single enzyme is observed (shaded time interval) as an increase in extension within a relaxation time \( T_c < T_w \). The data shown are time traces of the decatenation by Topo II of R-braids (red shaded area) and L-braids (blue shaded area) starting at \(|n| = 40 \) (\( F = 1.15 \) pN). No significant difference was observed between relaxation of R- and L-nodes. Notice the sharp increase in \( z \) at the end of the relaxation run resulting from the decatenation of the last braid. (c) Variation with the average tension \( T_c \) of the decatenation velocity \( v_d \). Each point is an average over at least 10 runs at a given force. The data are fit by an exponential curve (plain line): \( v_d = v_d^0 \exp(-T_c/\Delta/k_BT) \) with \( v_d^0 = 2.9 \) cycles/s, \( \Delta = 1.9 \text{ nm} \). The error bars here, as in all plots, indicate the statistical error.
Decatenation by Topo IV. (a) Time traces of relaxation by Topo IV of R-braids (red shaded area; initial $n = 45$) and L-braids (blue shaded area; initial $n = 55$) at $F = 0.7$ pN. Whereas L-braids are completely relaxed, R-braids are relaxed only partially: the reaction stops at a final $n = n_S = 19$ at which $z(n_S)/z_{max} = 0.66$. The value of the extension $z_S$ where braids begin to supercoil (see Fig. 2) is shown for comparison. (b) Variation with the averaged tension ($T_e$) of the decatenation rate $v_0$ (averaged over at least 10 runs at each force) for R-braids (red, □) and L-braids (blue, ○) and fitted to a model where the rate-limiting step of the reaction is tension-independent (30): $v_0 = v_0^0/(1 + k \exp(\Delta T_c/kT_f))$, with $v_0^0 = 1.05$ cycle/s, $\Delta = 15.4$ nm, $k_B = 3.10^{-3}$ (R-braids) and $v_0 = 2.35$ cycle/s, $\Delta = 15.4$ nm, $k_B = 3.10^{-3}$ (L-braids). (c) Time trace obtained when varying the force during decatenation of R-braids by Topo IV. After the decatenation stopped at $F = 2.4$ pN, the force was reduced to $F = 1.3$ pN. Decatenation resumed, then stopped again. The force was increased back to $F = 2.4$ pN to allow for comparison of the molecule’s initial and final extension. The procedure was repeated at $F = 0.6$ pN and $F = 0.3$ pN. (d) The remaining catenation number at arrest $n_S$ vs. $F$ for two different sets of experiments (different tethered beads, i.e., different anchoring distances $\Sigma$). $n_S$ is proportional to $F$ and increases as $e$ decreases. Red (□), $e = 1.1 \mu$m; blue (○), $e = 0.8 \mu$m. (e) The relative extension at arrest $z(n_S)/z_{max}$ varies little with $F$ or $e$. Its averaged value is $z(n_S)/z_{max} = 0.65 \pm 0.02$, which is about the same as the value where the braids supercoil.

Fig. 4. Decatenation by Topo IV. (a) Time traces of relaxation by Topo IV of R-braids (red shaded area; initial $n = 45$) and L-braids (blue shaded area; initial $n = 55$) at $F = 0.7$ pN. Whereas L-braids are completely relaxed, R-braids are relaxed only partially: the reaction stops at a final $n = n_S = 19$ at which $z(n_S)/z_{max} = 0.66$. The value of the extension $z_S$ where braids begin to supercoil (see Fig. 2) is shown for comparison. (b) Variation with the averaged tension ($T_e$) of the decatenation rate $v_0$ (averaged over at least 10 runs at each force) for R-braids (red, □) and L-braids (blue, ○) and fitted to a model where the rate-limiting step of the reaction is tension-independent (30): $v_0 = v_0^0/(1 + k \exp(\Delta T_c/kT_f))$, with $v_0^0 = 1.05$ cycle/s, $\Delta = 15.4$ nm, $k_B = 3.10^{-3}$ (R-braids) and $v_0 = 2.35$ cycle/s, $\Delta = 15.4$ nm, $k_B = 3.10^{-3}$ (L-braids). (c) Time trace obtained when varying the force during decatenation of R-braids by Topo IV. After the decatenation stopped at $F = 2.4$ pN, the force was reduced to $F = 1.3$ pN. Decatenation resumed, then stopped again. The force was increased back to $F = 2.4$ pN to allow for comparison of the molecule’s initial and final extension. The procedure was repeated at $F = 0.6$ pN and $F = 0.3$ pN. (d) The remaining catenation number at arrest $n_S$ vs. $F$ for two different sets of experiments (different tethered beads, i.e., different anchoring distances $\Sigma$). $n_S$ is proportional to $F$ and increases as $e$ decreases. Red (□), $e = 1.1 \mu$m; blue (○), $e = 0.8 \mu$m. (e) The relative extension at arrest $z(n_S)/z_{max}$ varies little with $F$ or $e$. Its averaged value is $z(n_S)/z_{max} = 0.65 \pm 0.02$, which is about the same as the value where the braids supercoil.

...oms were similarly reported to be larger than the bulk measurements (8). This is not surprising, because bulk assays measure a rate averaged over a population of enzymes, only a small fraction of which may be active at any time.

In an effort to understand the relaxation of R-braids by Topo IV, we measured the final catenation number $n_S$ at successively smaller forces $F$ and for two different anchoring distances $\Sigma$; see Fig. 4c. We noticed that $n_S$ is proportional to $F$ and increases as $e$ decreases (Fig. 4d). However, in all cases the molecules’ relative extension at arrest $z(n_S)/z_{max}$ is the same whatever the values of $F$ or $e$: $z(n_S)/z_{max} = 0.65 \pm 0.02$ (Fig. 4e). This value is very close to the critical extension $z_b/z_{max} = 0.64$ below which the braided molecules supercoil (Fig. 2). Furthermore, linear extrapolation of $n_S$ to $F = 0$ and $e = 0$ (as expected for catenated plasmids in bulk experiments) yields $n_S^0 = n_S(F = 0, e = 0) = 92$, or a catenation number $n_S^0/Lk_b = 0.06$, close to Marko’s estimate for the onset of supercoiled braids in catenated DNAs (15). These considerations imply that R-braids are relaxed by Topo IV when they supercoil to form L-plectonemes.

By reducing the concentration of ATP ([ATP] = 5 μM), we slowed down the enzymatic activity and were able to observe the step-by-step unlinking of supercoiled braids (i.e., when $z < z_b$) by a single Topo IV. The slow relaxation dynamics of these plectonemic braids cause an increase in the low-frequency fluctuations in extension, which hinders the direct observation of well defined single relaxation events; see Fig. 5 a and b. However, the histograms of the difference in extension at two times $[z(t) − z(t')]$ (Fig. 5 a and b Insets) reveals a series of peaks at values corresponding to an integer number of braids. This indicates that Topo IV relaxes both R- and L-braids one at a time.

Discussion

Our results confirm that the eukaryotic topoisomerase is insensitive to the chirality of the DNA braids. The rates of unbraiding and uncoiling measured for the fly Topo II and their variation with force are similar, implying that the different substrates (supercoiled DNA and braided nicked molecules) interact similarly with Topo II. The exponential decrease of the relaxation rates with tension measured for the fly Topo II and their variation with the molecular tension during the enzymatic rate limiting step, suggesting that the relaxation step may be rate limiting (12).

Topo IV was previously reported to relax preferentially (+) rather than (−) supercoiled DNA. This observation could be explained either by a preferential binding and cooperative assembly of Topo IV on positively coiled DNA or by the intrinsic orientation of the T and G segments on the enzyme that is disfavored by (−) supercoiling. Our results on the unbraiding of nicked DNA molecules support the latter model: they show that...
Topo IV has a preference for a particular orientation of the T and G segments (8), corresponding to an L-node with acute angle. Numerical simulations have shown that the preferred substrate of Topo IV, (+) supercoiled DNA also forms L-nodes with an acute angle $2\alpha = 60^\circ$ (23). Because the supercoiling of R-braids generates L-crossings, their unbraiding by Topo IV may proceed by DNA transport through these crossings. Thus the removal of one R-node implies two enzymatic cycles (the successive transport of two DNA segments through a third one; see Fig. 5c). Supercoiled R-braids should therefore be removed at half the rate of L-braids, as indeed is observed (see Fig. 4b).

Interestingly, the force dependence of the Topo IV unlinking rate differs from that of Topo II. At low forces, the Topo IV rate is constant, suggesting that (in contrast to Topo II) no work is performed against the force during its rate-limiting step. Thus not only does Topo IV differ from Topo II in its chiral discrimination capability, but these enzymes also have different rate-limiting steps.

The results presented here shed new light on the possible mechanisms of sister chromosome decatenation during replication in bacteria. If the replication complex is anchored to the bacterial membrane (22), it will establish a topological barrier preventing the back-diffusion of the (+) supercoils generated downstream from the replication fork and preempting the formation upstream of R-catenanes. The separated sister strands will thus remain unlinked, with the role of Topo IV limited exclusively to removal of the (+) supercoils, which it can do very efficiently (8, 10). In Salmonella, a defect in the separation of the daughter chromosomes (a partition defect) is associated with a mutation in a membrane protein, ParF (24). This protein is thought to anchor the replication complex (22), in agreement with the previous scenario. This model is not contradicted by the observation in partially replicated plasmids of both supercoiling of the mother strand and catenation of the daughter DNAs (25), because any topological barrier would be removed during extraction of the plasmid, allowing equilibration of the torsional stress across the replication fork.

If there is no topological barrier at the replication fork [as might be the case in E. coli where mutations of the gene homologous to parF (pilsC) does not result in a partition defect (22)], the joint action of Topo IV and gyrase could be sufficient to unwind the mother strand, allowing its melting (26) in the terminal stage of replication and generating L-catenanes that could efficiently be unlinked by Topo IV (see Fig. 6a). Indeed, the Topo IV rates of relaxation of (+) supercoils and L-nodes measured in the present single molecule experiments ($\sim 2.5$ cycles per s at $25^\circ\text{C}$) are compatible with the removal of the extra links generated during replication (9, 11). The observation in vivo and in vitro of L-catenanes where both Topo IV and gyrase are present (25) and the partition defect reported in gyrase mutants (27, 28) provides supporting evidence for that scenario. It is also consistent with the role of Topo IV as the primary decatenating enzyme (of L-braids) and of gyrase as the enzyme required for elongation synthesis (11, 21). The observation of R-catenanes when Topo IV is inhibited is easily explained by the lower rate of (+) supercoil removal by gyrase alone (9). In that situation, removal of the R-catenanes can be done efficiently by overexpression of Topo III (29).

Although we believe the previous scenario to be the main decatenation pathway in vivo, it has nevertheless been shown that Topo IV can unlink R-catenanes in vitro (11, 22). However, the rates of unlinking measured in these bulk experiments ($k_{un} = 0.1$ cycles per s) are 30 times smaller than both the rates reported in vivo and those measured here for the complete decatenation of L-braids (and the partial unlinking of R-braids). These slow decatenation events may result from the fluctuations...
of the R-intertwined molecules that will juxtapose the T and G segments in the correct orientation (an R-node with obtuse angle; see Fig. 1b) for Topo IV to act on.

Alternatively, as the present data show, the supercoiling of R-braids can provide an efficient (L-) substrate for decatenation by Topo IV. These L-plectonemic braids are expected if the number of interlinks $n$ is large enough: $n > 0.06Lk_0$ (15); see Fig. 6b. Moreover, if the individual plasmids are supercoiled, their interlinks could be localized and concentrated enough to locally induce plectonemic braids. These considerations may explain why the rates of unlinking of supercoiled and multiply linked plasmids are higher than the unlinking of nicked and singly linked catenanes (21).
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